PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT

PCT

KENNETH M. MASSARONI SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 5030 SUGALIOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044	PCT WRITTEN OPINION					
	(PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing A A D D 2004					
	(day/month/year) 06 APR 2004					
Applicant's or agent's file reference F-7259-PC	within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing					
International application No. International filing date	c (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/US02/20519 26 June 2002 (26.06.20						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classific	ation and IPC					
IPC(7): H04N 7/10,173 and US Cl.: 725/39-61 & 86-108						
Applicant						
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.						
This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by	this International Preliminary Examining Authority.					
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the follow	ving items:					
. 🕅						
I Basis of the opinion						
II Priority						
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention						
V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii citations and explanations supporting such) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; statement					
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the international applica	tion					
VIII Certain observations on the international a	pplication					
The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinio	on.					
	be applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request					
How? By submitting a written reply, accomp	panied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.					
For the examiner's obligation to consi	Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6					
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examples	mination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.					
 The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to F 	Rule 69.2 is: <u>29 October 2003 (29.10.2003)</u> .					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US	Authorized officer					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents	1M) h./ / / / /					
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Faile I. Andrew					
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Telephone No. (703)305-4700					
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)						

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US02/20519	

I.	Basi	s of the opinion							
1.	With	With regard to the elements of the international application:*							
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed							
	\triangle	the description:							
		pages 1-32, as originally filed							
		pages NONE, filed with the demand							
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of							
	\boxtimes	the claims:							
		pages 33-39, as originally filed							
		pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19							
		pages NONE, filed with the demand							
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of							
	\boxtimes	the drawings:							
		pages 1-31 , as originally filed							
		pages NONE, filed with the demand							
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of							
	П	the sequence listing part of the description:							
	_	pages NONE, as originally filed							
		pages NONE , filed with the demand							
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of							
	2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international saerach (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to the appearance of the purpose of international application, the written								
-		ion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form.							
	H	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
	H								
	\vdash	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
	\vdash	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
	Ш	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.							
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description, pages NONE							
		the claims, Nos. NONE							
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE							
5.		This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).							
	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."								

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US02/20519

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)		or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting su STATEMENT	ch statement	
Novelty (N)	Claims 4-51, 53-62, 70 & 73-74	YI
	Claims 1-3 & 63-69 & 71-72	N
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YI
_	Claims 1-74	N
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-74	YI
mustral Applicatinty (IA)	Claims NONE	NO.
CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS ase See Continuation Sheet		

International application No.

PCT/US02/20519

VII.	Certain defec	ts in the inter	national app	dication				

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

Claim 52 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: Claim 52 depends from itself, and is therefore indefinite.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box VII) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US02/20519

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-3 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Dixon, (U.S. Pat # 5,933,206).

Considering claim 1, the claimed feature of a recordable media content purchasing system comprising a first memory reads on the VOD system 10 along with storage devices 14, Fig. 1 & col. 2, lines 57-67 thru col. 3, lines 1-10.

The system manger 41 meets the claimed first processor configured with the first memory to download recordable media content at one of a plurality of various download times for purchase, col. 3, lines 10-28.

Considering claims 2-3, Dixon teaches that the downloading of the movies takes into account the historical consumption of the instant movie, col. 10-26 & col. 4, lines 12-25.

Claims 63-69 & 71-72 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Candelore, (U.S. Pat # 6,057,872).

Considering claim 63, Candelore discloses a recordable media content purchasing method comprising receiving a user request to purchase of recordable media content; see col. 5, lines 5-15 & col. 8, lines 45-65.

The claimed downloading of the requested media at various download times is inherent in Candelore.

Considering claim 64, see Fig. 4-Fig. 6.

Considering claim 66, see col. 5, lines 5-15 & Fig. 1.

Considering claims 67-69, the claimed subject matter reads on VOD, NVOD and PPV, all of which are disclosed in Candelore, col. 3, lines 5-30.

International application No. PCT/US02/20519

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Considering claims 71-72, see col. Fig. 3-Fig. 6.

Claims 4-6 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Dixon.

Considering claim 4, examiner takes note that at the time the invention was made, encryption was well known in the art. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to encrypt the movies before transmission, for the known benefit of ensuring only authorized viewers receive and/or display the movie.

Considering claims 5-6, 35-38 Dixon does not discuss the transmission speed. Examiner takes note that at the time the invention was made, it was known to transmit video programming at different speeds. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to transmit the data at higher in order for the viewer to get the movie ASAP, or at a lower speed in order to conserve bandwidth.

Claims 7-51 & 53-62 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Dixon, in view of Russo, (U.S. Pat # 5,619,247).

Considering claims 7-8. Dixon does not discuss the detail of the customer's receiver.

However, Russo is also a VOD system, and discloses movies being downloaded and stored at a customer's site, Abstract & col. 4, lines 10-22. I twould have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to download and store movies at the customer site at least so that the viewer can see the movie several times without having it transmitted each time.

As for the further claimed feature of the viewer being billed differently for different transmission rates, examiner notes that at the time the invention was made it was known to provide the customer with the option of different transmission quality of service levels. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to provide the customers with the different transmission rates, at least for the desirable benefit of allowing the customer the option to customize his transmission quality.

Considering claims 9-10, Dixon & Russo read on the claimed subject matter.

Considering claims 11-18, 24, 26, examiner takes note that at the time the invention was made; maximizing the use of bandwidth was very well known, such as for instance using peak or non-peak kendwidth times. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to operate Dixon in a manner that the movies are transmitted at different bandwidths related in order to most efficiently utilize the different bandwidth sat labelly.

Considering claims 19-23, the claimed subject matter reads on the movies stored as files at a central site in both Dixon & Russo.

Considering claim 25, Dixon & Russo provide purchase verification and viewer authentication.

Considering claim 27, both Dixon & Russo provide a hard disk drive.

Considering claims 28-34, the claimed subject matter reads on Dixon, Fig. 2.

Considering claims 35-38 Dixon does not discuss the transmission speed. Examiner takes note that at the time the investion mande, it was known to transmit video programming at different speeds. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to transmit the data at higher in order for the viewer to get the movie ASAP, or at a lower speed in order to conserve bandwidth.

Considering claims 39-43, examiner notes that at the time the invention was made it was known to provide the customer with

International application No. PCT/US02/20519

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

the option of different transmission quality of service levels. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to provide the customers with the different transmission rates, at least for the desirable benefit of allowing the customer the option to customize his transmission quality.

Considering claims 44-51, examiner takes note the GUI technology such as EPG was well known in the art for providing customers with interactive screens for selecting movies.

Considering claims 53-55, examiner takes note that at the time the invention was made, it was known to inform viewers when movies are not available and require a PIVO or some other authentication algorithm. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to notify viewers of movie availability and alternate purchase options, at least in order to provide a more user-friendly environment. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Dixon to require a PIV before ordering a movie to ensure that the requestor is authorized on make such a purchase.

Considering claim 56, both Dixon and Russo enable a user input device.

Considering claims 57-62, see Russo col. 4, lines 45-60.

Claims 70 & 73-74 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Candelore.

Considering claims 70 & 73-74, examiner takes note that at the time the invention was made, it was known to provide data seed upon the server's and bumbordful use and availability; and variable bit rate and off-peak bendwidth. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Candelore with the claimed features, at least for the advantage of a more flexible system, in order for the customer pay according to the level of service provided.